

Appendix D: Slough Local Area SEND Dashboard

Area	Metric	Source	Frequency	Trend data													Direction of travel	Benchmarks					
				Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23		Slough	National	South East	CIPFA NN		
SEND population	No of CYP for whom Slough maintains an EHC Plan	Capita	Charlie Watson	Monthly		1,809	1,816	1,822	1,827				1,859	1,874	1,891	1,898	1,915	↑	1,695				
	No of CYP for whom Slough maintain an EHC Plan that attend a Slough school	Capita		Monthly		1,299	1,306	1,306	1,309							1,245	1,310	↑					
	No of CYP identified with SEN support in Slough schools	School Census	Charlie Watson	Termly	3,470	3,567			3,638					3,733					↑	3,567			
	No of CYP identified with an EHCP in Slough schools	School Census	Charlie Watson	Termly	1,266	1,303			1,308					1,320						↑	1,303		
CME & EHE	No of CYP with SEN support missing in education (CME)	Capita	Anjli Sidhu	Termly	13	13			10				7						↓	16			
	No of CYP with an EHCP missing in education (CME)	Capita	Anjli Sidhu	Termly	1	0			1				4						↓	11			
	No of CYP with SEN support electively home educated (EHE)	Capita	Anjli Sidhu	Termly	60	63			64				45						↓	68			
	No of CYP with an EHCP electively home educated (EHE)	Capita	Anjli Sidhu	Termly	15	20			19				18						↑	14			
Social Services	% of school aged Children Looked After with SEN support	SCF	Awais Raza	Monthly	10.3	7.5	8.6	8.1	8.6	8.7	8.9	8.9	11.1	11.2	11.1	11.3	10.6	↓	25.5	27.4	26.4	27.1	
	% of school aged Children Looked After with EHCP	SCF	Awais Raza	Monthly	31.4	29.9	27.8	28.5	28.6	27.6	29.1	31.6	32.9	31.9	34.1	32.1	32.7	↑	28.6	28.9	33.6	29.2	
	% of school aged Children in Need with a disability	SCF	Awais Raza	Monthly	13.9	13.2	13.0	13.0	13.1	11.9	12.4	12.6	13.4	12.7	12.9	13.0	12.8	↑	10.1	12.3	14.2	14.1	
	% of school aged Children in Need with SEN support	SCF	Awais Raza	Monthly	15.3	16.4	16.0	15.6	15.5	16.5	15.5	15.3	15.8	16.1	15.4	15.8	16.1	↔	15.5	20.9	20.9	20.9	
	% of school aged Children in Need with EHCP	SCF	Awais Raza	Monthly	18.7	18.1	17.9	18.6	18.9	17.2	16.5	17.0	18.3	17.8	17.1	17.9	17.5	↓	21.8	27.9	30.3	28.2	
EET	% of 16-17 year olds with SEN support EET	SCF	Alison Wiles	Monthly	85.7	86.5	88.9	89.1	88.0	87.6	87.8	87.6	85.2	61.3	68.9	80.2			↓	83.2	86.9	85.1	-
	% of 16-17 year olds with EHCP EET	SCF	Alison Wiles	Monthly	87.3	89.0	89.0	89.0	89.0	87.9	86.7	87.2	86.1	72.3	75.7	79.0			↓	88.2	88.7	89.1	-
Complaints, mediation, appeals and tribunals	No of stage 1 SEND complaints received to LA	Intalex	Finbar McSween	Monthly	0	1	2	4	5	2	8	4	1	6	2	8	2	↓	25				
	Average number of days taken to resolve stage 1 complaints	Intalex	Finbar McSween	Monthly		11.0	13.0	9.8	9.2	9.0	19.6	15	11	13	13	9.75	N/A	↓	10.2				
	No of SEND complaints resolved within timescale	Intalex	Finbar McSween	Monthly		0	0	4	1	2	0	1	1	2	1	4	N/A	↓	17				
	No of SEND complaints received to LA partially or fully upheld	Intalex	Finbar McSween	Monthly		0	1	3	1	2	8	2	1	2	1	4	0	↓	16				
	Percentage of SEND complaints received to LA partially or fully upheld	Intalex	Finbar McSween	Monthly		0.0	50.0	75.0	20.0	100.0	100	50	100	33.3	50	50	0	↓	64.0				
	No of referrals received for SENDIASS		Dayo Williams	Monthly	70	91	80	76	74	76	117	79	44	150	111	95	39	↓	881				
	No of requests for an EHC mediation		Brynmor Smart	Monthly	6	6	6	9		5	Not available in time for Board						4	2	↓	80			
	No of EHC mediation cases that have been held		Brynmor Smart	Monthly		0	0			0	Not available in time for Board						0	0	↓	3			
	No of SEND tribunal appeals registered		Brynmor Smart	Monthly	2	6	5	1			Not available in time for Board						3	2	↓	15			
assessments requests	No of requests for EHC needs assessments received	1.Tracker	Natalie Hunt	Monthly	26	39	35			43	43	47	23	28	38	35	26	↓					
	Late running EHC needs assessments	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	69	73	76			109	120	122	140	130	149	164	168	↑	49				
	Late running EHC needs assessments between 21 to 30 weeks	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	31	24	17			39	49	61	56	42	48	61	57	↑	35				
	Late running EHC needs assessments between 31 to 40 weeks	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly		25	25			10	16	24	43	51	54	45	47	↑					

Area	Metric	Source	Frequency	Trend data													Direction of travel	Benchmarks				
				Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23		Slough	National	South East	CIPFA NN	
EHC needs	Late running EHC needs assessments between 41 to 52 weeks	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly		20	24			25	16	7	9	18	28	42	44	↑				
	Late running EHC needs assessments over 52 weeks	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	4	4	20			35	39	30	32	19	19	16	20	↑				
Statutory assessment process	No of requests for EHC needs assessment refused	1.Tracker	Natalie Hunt	Monthly	5	10	3	3	0	0	5	4	1	11	10	9	3	↓	32			
	No of EHC plans issued following assessment	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	12	5	6	3	6	10	6	27	10	27	24	19	21	↑	177			
EHCP compliance	No of new EHC plans issued within 20 weeks (including exceptions)	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	6	1	3	10	2	4	↑	24			
	% of new EHC plans issued within 20 weeks (including exceptions)	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	41.7	10.5	19.0	↑	11.4	47.6	44.8	76.1
	No new EHC plans issued within 20 weeks (excluding exceptions)	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	6	1	3	7	2	2	↓	23			
	% of new EHC plans issued within 20 weeks (excluding exceptions)	Capita	Aman Gill	Monthly	33.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	29.2	10.5	9.5	↓	11.60	49.1	45.8	79.1
Attendance and exclusions	Number of pupils on SEN Support who were recorded as persistently absent (>10%)	StudyBugs	Anjli Sidhu	Termly			1363			1399				862			↓					
	Number of pupils on EHCP who were recorded as persistently absent (>10%)	StudyBugs	Anjli Sidhu	Termly			450			399				158			↓					
	Fixed period exclusion rate for pupils with SEN support as a percentage of the school population	Capita	Charlie Watson	Termly	4.01		3.62			3.38				0.00			↓	10.50	18.59	16.97	18.44	
	Fixed period exclusion rate for pupils with EHCP as a percentage of the school population	Capita	Charlie Watson	Termly	4.90		5.37			5.12				0.00			↓	11.58	17.63	19.11	18.77	
	Permanent exclusion rate for SEN Support pupils as a percentage of the school population	Capita	Charlie Watson	Termly	0.23		0.25			0.08				0.01			↓	0.18	0.25	0.14	0.23	
	Permanent exclusion rate for pupils with an EHCP as a percentage of the school population	Capita	Charlie Watson	Termly	0.00		0.23			0.31				0.00			↓	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.15	
Child development reviews	% of children who received a 2-2½ year child development review	S4H	Jack Hockin	Quarterly	82.8		94.1			99.0			85.0		75.0		↓	85.8	74.0	76.9	-	
	Child development: % of children achieving the expected level in communication skills at 2 to 2½	S4H	Jack Hockin	Quarterly	75.0		78.6			83.0			78.0		76.0		↓	73.1	86.2	88.0	82.9	
	Child development: % of children achieving the expected level in personal social skills at 2 to 2½	S4H	Jack Hockin	Quarterly	86.1		92.7			92.0			91.0		87.0		↓	89.0	90.8	90.9	89.1	
	Child development: % of children achieving a good level of development at 2 to 2½ years	S4H	Jack Hockin	Quarterly	76.1		76.3			78.0			75.0		75.0		↔	73.6	80.9	81.7	75.2	
EHC health assessments	No of EHC health assessments requests received	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly	106		108			156			116				↑	97				
	% of EHC health assessments completed within 6 weeks (EAST-wide)	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly	60%	56%	57%	63%	64%	65%	62%	64%	73%	61%			↓	75%				
Therapy wait times	No of CYP on the waiting list for Occupational Therapy (OT) and physiotherapy assessment	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly			104			70			86				↓					
	CYP waiting 53+ weeks for OT and physiotherapy assessment	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly			21			8			2				↓					
Neurodiversity	Number on waiting list for ADHD Specialist Pathway (data is Berkshire East-wide)	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly	1278		1379			1,456							↑	1,002				
	Number on waiting list for Autism Assessment Team 5-18 (data is Berkshire East wide)	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly	1193		1190			1,381							↑	831				
	Number on waiting list for Autism Assessment Under 5 (data is Berkshire East wide)	BHFT	Ali Woodiwi	Quarterly	261		326			452							↑	257				

Trends

- ↑ Performance has improved
- ↓ Performance has deteriorated
- ↔ Performance is the same
- Performance above Benchmark tool
- Performance below Benchmark tool
- Performance in line with Benchmark tool
- Performance can not be compared

Note: EET data is one month prior to current month